

$I \subseteq R$ mod R/I , M/I_M | $S \subseteq R$ w.c. $\leadsto S^{-1}R, S^{-1}M$
 $\pi: R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$
 $I \mapsto S^{-1}I = \pi(I) \cdot S^{-1}R =: I \cdot S^{-1}R$ | $\exists \subseteq S^{-1}R$ idel
 $\leadsto \pi^{-1} \exists \subseteq R \iff \exists \cap R$
Fact: $\exists \subseteq S^{-1}R \leadsto S^{-1}(\exists \cap R) = \exists$
 $I \subseteq R \leadsto \underbrace{S^{-1}I \cap R}_{(*)} \supseteq I$ ($a \in I \Rightarrow \frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}I$, $\pi(1) = \frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}R \Rightarrow a \in (x)$)
 essential property:
 $I \cap S = \emptyset \iff S^{-1}I + (1) = S^{-1}R$ **Proof:** (\Rightarrow) Let $s \in I \cap S$
 $I \cap S \neq \emptyset \iff S^{-1}I = (1) \Rightarrow \frac{s}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ and $\frac{s}{1} = \frac{a}{t}$, $u \text{ case} = \frac{1}{s}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists t \in S: t(a-1) = 0 \Rightarrow t \frac{a}{1} = t$
 $\Rightarrow \exists t \in S: t(a-1) = 0 \Rightarrow t \frac{a}{1} = t$
 $\Rightarrow \exists t \in S: t(a-1) = 0 \Rightarrow t \frac{a}{1} = t$
But: $I \cap S = \emptyset$ does not suffice for is in $(*)$
Exple: $R = \mathbb{Z}, I = (6), S = \{2^k \mid k \geq 0\}$
 $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{I} S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, $S^{-1}I \ni \frac{3}{1} \Rightarrow 3 \in S^{-1}I \cap \mathbb{Z}$

Lemma: $P \subseteq R$ PI, assume that $P \cap S = \emptyset$. If $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}P$ ($a \in P, s \in S$) $\Rightarrow a \in P$.
Proof: $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}P \Rightarrow \exists p \in P, t \in S: \frac{a}{s} = \frac{p}{t} \in S^{-1}P \Rightarrow \exists r \in S, r(at - ps) = 0$
 $r \in S \text{ w.c. } r \notin P \Rightarrow at - ps \in P \Rightarrow at \in P$
 $t \in S \Rightarrow \frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}P \Rightarrow a \in P$. \square
Corollary: $P = PI$, disjoint to $S \Rightarrow S^{-1}P \cap R = P$ **Proof:** $a \in R, \frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}P \Rightarrow a \in P$. \square
Remark: $(-)$ $\Rightarrow S^{-1}P \subseteq S^{-1}R$ is a prime idel.
Proof + further remark: $S^{-1}(R/I) = R/I \otimes_R S^{-1}R = S^{-1}R / I \cdot S^{-1}R = S^{-1}R / S^{-1}I$
 $S^{-1}R / S^{-1}P = S^{-1}(R/P) = \text{domain}$.
Consequence: $S \subseteq R$ w.c. $\{ \text{prime idels in } R, \text{ disj. to } S \} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{prime idels in } S^{-1}R \}$
 $\begin{matrix} P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{-1}P \\ Q \cap R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q \end{matrix}$ **Special case:** $S = f^{\mathbb{N}}$
 $\text{Spec } S^{-1}R = \{ P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \cap S = \emptyset \}$
Recall: $I \subseteq R$ idel
 $\{ PI \subseteq R \text{ over } I \} \leftrightarrow \{ PI \subseteq R \mid I \}$
 $\text{Spec } R/I = \{ P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \supseteq I \} = V(I)$ **Special case:** $S = f^{\mathbb{N}}$
 $\text{Spec } R_f = D(f) (= \text{Spec } R \setminus V(f))$

Other interpretation: $Q \subseteq R$ prime idel $\leadsto R \rightarrow R/Q$
 $\text{Spec } R/Q = \{ P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \supseteq Q \}$
 $\text{Spec } R_Q = \{ P \in \text{Spec } R \mid P \subseteq Q \}$
 $M \xrightarrow{S^{-1}M} \text{exact !!!}$

Local tests **Lemma:** Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be an R -linear map.
 f is 0 / injective / surjective / isomorphism \iff if f_m is so $\forall m \in \text{Max Spec } R$
Proof: $m \in M$. **Claim:** $m = 0 \iff \frac{m}{1} = 0$ inside $M_m \forall m \in \text{Max Spec } R$
Proof of claim: (\Leftarrow) $\text{Ann}_R(m) := \{ a \in R \mid a \cdot m = 0 \} \subseteq R$ idel
 $m = 0 \iff 1 \in \text{Ann}_R(m) \iff \text{Ann}_R(m) = R$.

If $m \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ann}_R(m) \subsetneq R \Rightarrow \exists m \in \text{Max Spec } R: \text{Ann}_R(m) \subseteq m$, i.e. $\text{Ann}_R(m) \cap S = \emptyset$
 $\Rightarrow S^{-1} \text{Ann}_R(m) + (1) = S^{-1}R = R_m$
 $(*) \text{Ann}_{R_m}(\frac{m}{1}) = 0 \iff \frac{m}{1} \neq 0$ in M_m .
Proof of $(*)$:
 • Let $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1} \text{Ann}_R(m)$, i.e. $a \in \text{Ann}_R(m) \Rightarrow a \cdot m = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{1} = \frac{a \cdot m}{s} = 0$
 • Let $\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{1} = 0$ in $M_m \iff \exists t \in S: t \cdot (a \cdot m) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow t a \in \text{Ann}_R(m)$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{a}{s} = \frac{t a}{t s} \in S^{-1} \text{Ann}_R(m)$. \square
Proof of Lemma: $f: M \rightarrow N$
 • (\Rightarrow) is always ok: $[f_m: M_m \rightarrow N_m] = [f: M \rightarrow N] \otimes_R R_m$, $R_m = \text{flat over } R$
 • $f_m: M_m \rightarrow N_m$ is 0 $\forall m$ \iff claim $f: M \rightarrow N$ is 0
 • $f_m = \text{inj } \forall m$. Let: $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N$ (exact)
 $(f = \text{inj} \iff K = 0)$
 $K_m = 0 \iff 0 \rightarrow K_m \rightarrow M_m \xrightarrow{f_m} N_m$ exact $\forall m$ \square

$S = R$ (idempotent) | $[f: M \rightarrow N] \otimes_{R_m} \text{id}_{R_m}$ | $S \subseteq R$
 $S^{-1} R \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{-1} M$ (isom of $S^{-1} R$ -mod)
 $(\frac{a}{s}, m) \mapsto \frac{am}{s} \mid \frac{1}{s} \otimes m \mapsto \frac{m}{s}$

Corollary: (*) $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ R -linear.
 (*) is exact $\iff \forall m \in \text{Max Spec } R: (*) \otimes \text{id}_{R_m}$ is exact over R_m .

Proof: (\implies) $\hat{=}$ exactness of \otimes_{R_m}
 (\impliedby) Start with $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$, show $\text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f$ (know: " \supseteq ")

$L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$
 $\otimes_{R_m} \text{id}_{R_m} \implies L \otimes_{R_m} \xrightarrow{f} M \otimes_{R_m} \xrightarrow{g} N \otimes_{R_m} \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{Ker } g \xrightarrow{\Phi} M/\text{Im } f \xrightarrow{\text{Im } f} N$
 $\text{Ker } g \xrightarrow{\Phi} M/\text{Im } f \quad (\text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f) \iff \Phi = 0$

Corollary: $M = R$ -module. Then M is R -flat $\iff \forall m \in \text{Max Spec } R, M_m$ is R_m -flat

Proof: $M = R$ -flat $\iff \forall R$ -mod $A \hookrightarrow B$ (injective) $A \otimes M \hookrightarrow B \otimes M$ (injective)
 remains injective

$(A \otimes_R M) \otimes_{R_m} R_m = A \otimes_R (M \otimes_{R_m} R_m) = A \otimes_R M_m = A \otimes_{R_m} R_m \otimes_{R_m} M_m = A_m \otimes_{R_m} M_m$

(\implies) $A \otimes M \hookrightarrow B \otimes M$ inj? $\forall m$ check: $(A \otimes M) \otimes_{R_m} \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} (B \otimes M) \otimes_{R_m} R_m$

$(A \otimes_R M) \otimes_{R_m} R_m \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} (B \otimes_R M) \otimes_{R_m} R_m$
 $A_m \otimes_{R_m} M_m \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} B_m \otimes_{R_m} M_m$

(\impliedby) Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be R_m -modules, $X \otimes_{R_m} M_m \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} Y \otimes_{R_m} M_m$

$X \otimes_{R_m} (R_m \otimes_R M) \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} Y \otimes_{R_m} (R_m \otimes_R M)$
 $X \otimes_{R_m} R_m \otimes_{R_m} M = X \otimes_R M$
 $X \otimes_{R_m} (R_m \otimes_R M) = X \otimes_R M$ (because $M = R$ -flat)

Nakayama-Lemma: $M = R$ -module; $M =$ finitely generated (as an R -module)
 $(\iff \exists K \in \mathbb{N}: R^K \twoheadrightarrow M$ (R -linear, surjective))

Cayley-Hamilton: $I \subseteq R$ idemp. Assume $\varphi: M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M$ is an R -linear map.

$\implies \exists p(x) \in R[x]$, namely: $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^{n-j}$ with $a_j \in I \subseteq R$, $a_0 = 1$

such that $p(\varphi) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \varphi^{n-j}$ (with $\varphi^k := \underbrace{\varphi \circ \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi}_{k\text{-times}}$) satisfies $p(\varphi) = 0$ in $\text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof: Rank 1: $R = \text{div}$ mod \circ consider $\text{Mat}(n, S, R) =$ matrices (and) with entries in R .

Proof: $R = \text{div}$ mod \circ $R \hookrightarrow K$. $\text{Mat}(n, S, R) \ni A$ mod \circ $\det A \in R$
 $T := \mathbb{Z}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$ dom. A mod \circ $\text{adj}_j(A) := [(i, j)\text{-th entry} = (-1)^{i+j} \cdot \det A_{ij}]^T$
 $X := (x_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}(n, S, T)$ on $\text{copy } X$ (instead A)
 $A \cdot \text{adj}_j(A) = \text{adj}_j(A) \cdot A = \det A \cdot I_n$
 $x_{ij} \mapsto a_{ij}$, i.e. $X \mapsto A$
 $\implies [A = \text{invertible}] \iff \det A \in R^*$

Let $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$ be generators

$\implies \varphi(m_i) \in IM \implies \exists a_{ij} \in I: \varphi(m_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} m_j$ (*)

Introduce an $R[x]$ -module structure on M - by explaining what $(x \cdot)$ does! $(x \cdot) \in \text{End } M$
 $(x \cdot): M \rightarrow M$

(\circ) reformulation of the claim: $p(x) \in R[x]$, $M \xrightarrow{p(x)} M$ is zero

(*) $x \cdot m_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} m_j \implies \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} m_j - x m_i = 0$, i.e. i
 $B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - x & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - x & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & \dots & a_{nn} - x \end{pmatrix}$
 $B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies (\text{adj } B) \cdot B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$
 $\det B \cdot I_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies \forall i: \det B \cdot m_i = 0$
 $\implies p(x) \cdot M = 0$

CH $\varphi: M \rightarrow IM, M = f.s. \Rightarrow \exists p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i, a_i \in I, p(y) = 0 \text{ in } \text{End}(M)$
 i.e. $p(y)(M) = 0$

3 Consequences: ① $I \subseteq R$ idel, $IM = M \Rightarrow \exists p \in 1+I \subseteq R: p \cdot M = 0$

Proof $\varphi: M \rightarrow IM, \varphi(m) = m$; i.e. $\varphi = id_M$

CH $\Rightarrow \exists p(x) \cdot p(id)(M) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (x^i + a_i x^{i-1} + \dots + a_{i-1}) (id) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (id)^{k-i} = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \cdot 1 = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$$

①' Let $I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R) = \bigcap_{m \in M} \text{Ann}_R(m) = \{a \in R \mid 1+aR \subseteq R^*\} \Rightarrow 1 + \text{Jac}(R) \subseteq R^*$
 $\Rightarrow p \in R^* \Rightarrow M=0$ That is, $I \subseteq \text{Jac} R \Rightarrow M=IM \Rightarrow M=0$

①'' $(R, m) = \text{local ring} \Rightarrow \text{Jac}(R) = M \Rightarrow \{M = m \cdot M \text{ implies } M=0\}$
 IF M is f.s. !!

② Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a surjective, R -linear map $\Rightarrow f = \text{isomorphism!}$

Proof: $M = R[x]$ -module via f , i.e. $x \cdot m := f(m)$

$f = \text{surjective} \Rightarrow M = (x) \cdot M \xrightarrow{①} \exists p \in 1+(x) \subseteq R[x]: p \cdot M = 0$
 $p(x) = 1 + x \cdot q(x) \Rightarrow \forall m \in M: (1 + x \cdot q(x))(m) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \forall m \in M: m = f \circ (-q(f))(m)$
 $m = (-q(f)) \circ f(m)$
 i.e. $f \circ (-q(f)) = id$
 $(-q(f)) \circ f = id$ } $-q(f) = f^{-1}$!!

$m + f(q(f)(m)) = 0$
 $m + q(f)(f(m)) = 0$

③ Lemma (of Nakayama): Let $(R, m) = \text{local ring}$.
 Let $M = f.s. R$ -module.

If $w_1, \dots, w_k \in M$ such that $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_k \in M/mM$ generate M/mM as an R/m -module, then w_1, \dots, w_k generate M as an R -module.

(Remark: If w_1, \dots, w_n generate M , i.e. $R^k \xrightarrow{w} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/mM$ as $\bigoplus_R R/m$ modules)

Example: $\{2,3\}$ generate $\mathbb{Z} = M$ as \mathbb{Z} -module.

$\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in M/mM$ generate M/mM as R/m -module. \Rightarrow w_1, w_2 generate M as R -module.

$\{\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_k\} \setminus \bar{w}_i = \text{still generate } M/mM$

$\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \setminus w_i = \text{gen. syst. of } M$

Ex: $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 5 \nmid b\} = \text{local ring, max idel is } (5)$.
 $\langle 2, 3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ as a module over $\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$. - how: $2, 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}^* \Rightarrow \langle 2, 3 \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle = \langle 3 \rangle$

Proof: $N = \langle w_1, \dots, w_k \rangle \subseteq M$; goal: $N = M$. Know: $N \subseteq M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/mM \xrightarrow{\cong} N + mM = M$

