0 as both coordinates.

If we were looking at  $T_{(1,1)}\mathbb{C}^2$  instead (with the same tangent vector (2,1)), then we would have obtained  $x \mapsto 1 + 2\varepsilon$  and  $y \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon$  instead. Why didn't I take  $T_{(1,1)}Z_3$ ? While  $(1,1) \in Z_3$ , the tangent vector (2,1) does not belong to  $T_{(1,1)}Z_3$ . The latter can be calculated as follows: Substitute a := x - 1 and b := y - 1, then

$$\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(y^2 - x^3) = \mathbb{C}[a,b]/(b^2 + 2b - a^3 - 3a^2 - 3a),$$

and we look at the point (a, b) = (0, 0). The cotangent space is  $(a, b)/(a^2, ab, b^2, 2b - 3a)$ , and the vector (2, 1) does not define a correct linear map

$$(a,b)/(a^2,ab,b^2,2b-3a) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad a \mapsto 2, \ b \mapsto 1.$$

On the other hand, it does not make sense to compare the tangent spaces of some X within different points, anyway. We have no parallel transport.

Klaus Altmann Mathematisches Institut Freie Universität Berlin Tel.: (030) 838 - 75428 altmann@math.fu-berlin.de Christian Haase Mathematisches Institut Freie Universität Berlin Tel.: (030) 838 - 75426 haase@math.fu-berlin.de

## 12. Aufgabenblatt zum 10.7.2023

**Problem 101.** Let F be a locally free sheaf on an integral, i.e. irreducible and reduced scheme X. Show that, for open subsets  $U \subseteq X$ , the restriction map  $\Gamma(X, F) \to \Gamma(U, F)$  is injective.

Give counter examples for the cases when one of the assumptions is violated.

Solution: W.l.o.g.  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  with A being a domain and  $F = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Now, all localizations are injective.

Examples for violated assumptions: (i)  $X = \mathbb{A}^1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $F = \widetilde{M}$  with  $M = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x) = \mathbb{C}$  yields the 0-map to  $U := X \setminus \{0\}$ , and (ii)  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$  is the disjoint union of two points. Even  $F = \mathcal{O}_X$  violates the claim now.

**Problem 102.** a) Show directly that the diagonal  $\Delta : \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a closed embedding. What is the homogeneous ideal of  $\Delta(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{C}}$  after additionally using the Segre embedding? Do you see the Veronese embedding within this picture?

b) Let  $X := \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \cup \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$  glued along the common  $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$ . Show directly that there are affine open  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq X$  such that either  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is not affine or that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = U$  is affine with  $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$  and  $U = \operatorname{Spec} B$  such that  $A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A_2 \to B$  is not surjective. c) In the situation of (b) show that  $\Delta(X) \subseteq X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}} X$  is not a closed subset.

Solution: (a) The Segre embedding  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $(x_0 : x_1), (y_0 : y_1) \mapsto (x_0y_0 : x_0y_1 : x_1y_0 : x_1y_1)$  corresponds to the homogeneous coordinate rings  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \ldots, z_3] \to \mathbb{C}[z_0, \ldots, z_3]/(z_0z_3 - z_1z_2]$ . The diagonal  $\Delta : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  maps  $(w_0 : w_1) \mapsto (w_0 : w_1) \mapsto (w_0^2 : w_0w_1 : w_0w_1 : w_1^2)$ . In particular, It is obtained from the homogeneous ring homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[z_0,\ldots,z_3] \to \mathbb{C}[w_0,w_1], \quad z_0 \mapsto w_0^2, \, z_1, z_2 \mapsto w_0 w_1, \, z_3 \mapsto w_1^2$$

factoring through  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \ldots, z_3]/(z_0z_3 - z_1z_2)$ . It is a surjection not onto  $\mathbb{C}[w_0, w_1]$ , but onto its even part. In the projective situation, this is sufficient for providing a closed embedding. The kernel is generated by  $(z_1 - z_2, z_0z_3 - z_1z_2)$ . Thus, the embedding  $\Delta : \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  factors via the second Veronese embedding  $\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , followed by a linear embedding  $\mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ .

(b) In  $X := U_1 \cup U_2$  (with  $U_i = \mathbb{A}^1$  for i = 1, 2) the intersection of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  is, via definition,  $U_{12} = \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$ . The restriction maps  $\rho_i$  on the coordinate rings are both the localization maps  $\rho_i : \mathbb{C}[x] \to \mathbb{C}[x]_x$ . The two copies of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  do not generate the larger ring  $\mathbb{C}[x]_x$ .

(c) Just looking at the closed points, X consists of  $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$  and two points  $0_A$  and  $0_B$ . In  $X \times X$ , all 4 pairs  $(0_A, 0_A)$ ,  $(0_A, 0_B)$ ,  $(0_B, 0_A)$ ,  $(0_B, 0_B)$  belong to the closure of  $\Delta(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}) = \{(t,t) \mid t \neq 0\}$ . However,  $\Delta(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$  contains only two of them, namely  $(0_A, 0_A)$  and  $(0_B, 0_B)$ .

**Problem 103.** a) Show that *d*-dimensional *k*-varieties (with a perfect field *k*) are birational equivalent to hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ .

(*Hint:* Use the theorem of the primitive element.)

b) Let  $f, g \in k[x]$  be two different polynomials with simple roots. Construct a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that is birational equivalent to  $V(y^2 - f(x), z^2 - g(x)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$ .

Solution: (a)  $k = \text{perfect} \Rightarrow \text{ for each field extension } K = k(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \supseteq k$  there is an  $e \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$  with  $K \supseteq k(e) \supseteq k$  (separable|transzendent), cf. [ZS, ch. II, Th 30+31, S.104]. "Satz vom primitiven Element"  $\Rightarrow d$ -dimensional k-varieties are birational equivalent to hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ .

(b) Let  $\pm y$  and  $\pm z$  be the respective roots of the minimal polynomials  $m_y(t) = t^2 - f(x)$  and  $m_z(t) = t^2 - g(x)$  over k(x). Theorem of the primitive element (actually, its proof)  $\rightsquigarrow$  every  $\gamma := y + cz$  with  $c \in k(x)$  such that  $y + cz \neq (-y) + c(-z)$ , i.e.,  $c \neq -y/z$  generates the extension field K := k(x)(y, z) over k(x).

With c := 1, i.e.,  $\gamma := y + z$ , we obtain  $(\gamma^2 - (f + g))^2 = 4fg$ . This leads to the hypersurface equation  $\gamma^4 - 2(f + g)\gamma^2 + (f - g)^2 = 0$ .

**Problem 104.** Assume that the ring A is factorial. Show that this implies Pic(Spec A) = 0, i.e. every invertible sheaf on Spec A is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{Spec A}$ .

(*Hint:* For invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L}$  one is supposed to use the cocycle description on an open covering  $\{D(g_i)\}$  with  $\mathcal{L}|_{D(g_i)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D(g_i)}$ , cf. Problem 95. Via induction by the overall number of prime factors of the  $g_i$ , one can reduce the claim to the special case that all elements  $g_i$  are prime. Now, using again Problem 95, one can attain that  $h_{ij} \in A^*$  for all i, j.)

Solution: Let p be a prime divisor of  $g_1 \cdots g_N$  – via induction by the number of prime divisors of  $g_1 \cdots g_N$  we may assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial on  $D(p) = \operatorname{Spec} A_p$ . On the other hand, (prime divisors of  $g_1 \cdots g_N$ )  $\supseteq (g_1, \ldots, g_N) = (1)$ . Thus, we may suppose that all  $g_i$  are prime.

Now, every  $h_{ij} \in A_{g_ig_j}^*$  (using the notation of Problem 95) can be expressed as  $h_{ij} = u_{ij} \cdot g_i^{e_i}/g_j^{e_j}$  with  $u_{ij} \in A^*$ . The elements  $u_{ij}$ 's do still satisfy the cocycle condition. Hence, we can represent them as  $u_{ij} = u_{i0}/u_{j0}$ .

**Problem 105.** Show (by using the toric language via polytopes in  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) that the blowing up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in two points is isomorphic to the blowing up of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  in one single point.

Solution:



http://www.math.fu-berlin.de/altmann